

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/820,209	04/07/2004	Richard Lunak	050704/306103	7439	
826	7590 09/07/2006	EXAM	EXAMINER		
ALSTON &	BIRD LLP	NGUYEN, KI	NGUYEN, KIMBERLY D		
	MERICA PLAZA	ADTIBUT	PAPER NUMBER		
101 SOUTH	TRYON STREET, SUITE 40	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHARLOTT	E, NC 28280-4000	2876			
			DATE MAILED: 09/07/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Appl		Applicant(s)	pplicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/820,209)	LUNAK ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Kimberly D.	Nguyen	2876				
Ti Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this communiceply	cation appe	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ldress			
WHICHE - Extension after SIX (- If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MASS of time may be available under the provisions of 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commod for reply is specified above, the maximum state reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months aftent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.136 unication. tutory period wil will, by statute, o	TE OF THI 6(a). In no even Il apply and will cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be tire expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed	d on 23 Ma	rch 2006.						
•	•		action is no	n-final.					
, —									
clo	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	of Claims								
4)⊠ Cla	nim(s) <u>15-30</u> is/are pending in the	application.							
4a)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)∏ Cla	nim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Cla	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-30</u> is/are rejected.								
7) 🗌 Cla	nim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)∏ Cla	nim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or	election re	quirement.					
Application	Papers								
9) 🗌 The	specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	•						
10)∏ The	drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) acce	pted or b)[objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Rep	placement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	on is require	d if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)∐ The	oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exa	aminer. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	nowledgment is made of a claim full b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority of Certified copies of the priority of Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation the attached detailed Office action	documents documents of the priorit nal Bureau	have been have been ty documer (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicat its have been receive 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage			
2) Notice of 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P ⁻ on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date <u>3/23/06</u> .	TO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/820,209

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment

1. Acknowledgment is made of Amendment filed March 23, 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 15-17, 21-25, and 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Liff et al. (US 5,797,515; hereinafter "Liff").

Re claims 15-16, 21-24, and 29-30: Liff teaches a method comprising

inputting information into a handheld device (40, 41 in fig. 1; col. 6, lines 45+) from an indicium (98, 76 in fig. 1; col. 6, lines 48+) on an open shelving system, the information identifying an item stocked on the open shelving system (col. 6, lines 45-67);

inputting a current quantity of the item to the handheld device (i.e., the number of bottles in each column can be recorded and tracked during use, so that if a proper dispensing has occurred through the barcode reader 40, 41, then the transaction is recorded to the database 407, so that the inventory of cabinet 20 is automatically monitored and updated (col. 6, lines 45-67; col. 7, lines 14-23; col. 18, lines 20-41));

transferring the information and current quantity from the handheld device (col. 6, lines 45-67; col. 7, lines 14-23; col. 18, lines 20-41);

Art Unit: 2876

automatically comparing, in a computing device, the current quantity to a par level for the item ("if a proper dispensing has occurred, the transaction is recorded to the data base 407, and the computer determines whether inventory is at or below a predetermined restock value 408... (col. 18, lines 20-41)); and

generating a restocking package in response to the automatic comparing when the current quantity is less than the par level (col. 18, lines 20-41; col. 7, lines 14+).

Re claims 17and 25: Liff teaches wherein the transferring includes transporting the handheld device (41) to a location (e.g., host computer 46 in fig. 1) where the information and quantity are downloaded (i.e., the handheld device 41 is transported/connected to the host computer 46, where the information and quantity are downloaded as shown in fig. 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 18-20 and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liff in view of Frederick et al. (US 6,112,502; hereinafter "Frederick"). The teachings of Liff have been discussed above.

Re claims 18 and 26: Liff fails to specifically teach transmitting the information and quantity, by the handheld device 41, is over a wireless link.

Frederick teaches a handheld device (542 in fig. 55) transmitting information over a wireless link (col. 45, lines 40-45; col. 8, lines 17-37).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the wirelessly information transmitting by the handheld device as taught by Frederick to the teaching of Liff in order to provide latest/better information transmitting technology (i.e., wireless transmitting) to avoid the hassle of hardwire connection between the handheld device and the host computer.

Re claims 19 and 27: Frederick teaches the transferring includes inserting the handheld device into a docking station (cradle 354 in fig. 40) located proximate to the open shelving system ("The reading device is eventually again placed in communication with the network 328. This may be done by returning it to its cradle..." (col. 54, lines 53-56)) (col. 41, lines 1-23; col. 47, lines 1-12).

Re claims 20 and 28: Frederick teaches the method further including selecting information identifying an item not represented by an indicia (e.g., keyboard, mouse, touch screen, spoken word recognition, etc (col. 19, lines 28-33)) on the open shelving system and inputting to the handheld device a current quantity of the item to be stocked in the open shelving unit (col. 19, lines 20-37; col. 17, lines 21-29; col. 40, lines 16-31).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments, see pages 5-7, filed March 23, 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Liff and Frederick as set forth above.

Conclusion

Examiner's note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the

Art Unit: 2876

specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly D. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2402. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

lie Mayle

September 1, 2006